

## READ FIRST: RE: REQUESTS FOR LAWSUIT SUPPORT

Over 100 Companies and over 180 individuals were targeted, attacked, defrauded, and black-listed by the owners of Google, Twitter, Tesla, Facebook, Linked-In, Kleiner Perkins, Draper Fisher, Greylock, Amazon, Ebay and related companies.

Those defendants turn out to all be the same bunch of campaign financiers who also turn out to have received nearly a trillion dollars of exclusive crony kick-back deals, in taxpayer resources, from the people whose campaigns they financed. The odds of those factors being coincidental are scientifically impossible. The facts prove that a criminal enterprise was undertaken by these people.

The evidence of the creation, and operation, of an illegal, anti-trust violating, monopolistic Cartel is now indisputable, incontrovertible and massively voluminous.

Documents including emails, letters and phone call transcriptions now show that this group had the audaciousness to actually refer to themselves as the “Mafia”.

Included in this technology mobster cartel are John Doerr, Elon Musk, Steve Spinner, Steve Westly, Steven Chu, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Steve Jurvetson, Tim Draper, David Drummond, David Plouffe, Ira Erenpreis, and a host of other self-promoting technology billionaires.

A number of individuals have overwhelming evidence of the above defendants ordering and engaging in multi-million dollars personal attacks on them, their families and their businesses. Those plaintiffs have been seeking justice but there are some challenges to reaching that justice:

- Defendants have threatened and intimidated many major law firms with black-listing and loss of existing contracts if a firm takes the case of current Plaintiffs. This has been proven by statements from law firm staff.
- Defendants have leveraged major law enforcement agencies to get the executives of those law enforcement agencies to order “stand-downs”, and or “slow-walks”. This has been proven by the United States Congress and is under on-going investigation by the U.S. Senate.
- Defendants have attempted to pitch the case as a GOP VS. DNC issue when it has nothing to do with politics. The case is entirely about criminal activities and the restitution of damages.

### **Those are the challenges. Here is the upside:**

- The most challenging defendants have been “test sued” to see if they had any counter-measures. Those defendants proved that they do not.
- Provable metric exist demonstrating to any court that defendants caused over five billion dollars of damages and defendants each have more than enough cash to pay those damages. Plaintiffs know the exact locations of those assets.
- Plaintiffs have hard evidence from a multi-year law enforcement sting investigation.
- Plaintiffs have legal freedom to use all of the leaks from 2007 to 2017.

- Defendants will not be able to withstand the charges, if presented by a fully resourced and competent legal team in a jury trial.
- Public opinion has dramatically turned against the defendants.
- Even though defendants have been using internet censorship, DNS manipulation, news rigging, DMCA tricks and other internet manipulation tricks, the world has over-whelming seen past their facades.
- Plaintiffs have the law on their side and defendants have violated the law and ethics standards over a thousand times.
- There are many more advantages for the plaintiff position and investors or law firms who support these lawsuits on a contingency basis can derive substantial profits from a percentage of the court awards.

Please contact plaintiffs at <http://xyzcase.xyz> or <http://www.slush-fund.com> or 510-868-2862 and ask for the Legal Administrator. There are a number of different potential plaintiffs and plaintiff groups.